TABLE 2.—T4TMDLs/WLAs/LAs for New York-New Jersey Harbor

	Loading Zone (loads in lbs/day	Hack/Pas/Newark	
TMDL: Copper	total recoverable metal)	Kills	Raritan R/Bay
	WLA/LA		
MUN./IND.	11.16	31.21	34.85
CSO	17.30	17.10	1.40
STORM WATER	53.30	35.10	42.70
BOUNDARY	2.73	0.00	3.90
ATMOSPHERIC	7.40	46.40	67.60
TMDL	91.89	129.81	150.45

TMDL: Mercury
[Loads in lbs/day total recoverable metal]

Loading zones	Mun./ind.	CSOs 1	Storm water ²	Boundary 1	Atmospheric ³	TMDLs
Hudson River	0.185	0.057	0.481	0.138	0.245	1.106
Inner HarborOuter Harbor	0.183 0.000	0.034 0.026	0.007 0.010	0	0.054 1.139	0.278 1.175
Kills	0.328	0.066	0.516	Ö	0.225	1.175
East & Harlem R	1.005	0.216	1.260	0	0.679	3.16
Jamaica Bay	0.274	0.106	0.119	0.	0.093	0.592
Raritan Bay	0.442	0.005	0.628	0.003	0.328	1.406
Hack/Pas/ Newark B	0.215	0.060	0.784	0.002	0.036	1.097

¹ Load includes a projected 10% reduction.

NOTES: Hack/Pas/Newark=Hackensack River, Passaic River and Newark Bay. Mun./Ind.=Municipal and Industrial dischargers.

Dated: December 15, 1995. William Muszynski,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-1052 Filed 1-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CS Docket No. 95-61, FCC 95-491]

Annual Assessment of the Status of Competition in the Market for the Delivery of Video Programming

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Second Annual Report to Congress.

SUMMARY: Section 628(g) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 548(g), requires the Commission to report annually to Congress on the status of competition in the market for the delivery of video programming. On December 11, 1995, the Commission released its second such annual report ("1995 Report"). The 1995 Report provides data and information that summarize the status of competition in the market for the delivery of video programming and update the Commission's first Annual

Assessment of the Status of Competition in the Market for the Delivery of Video Programming ("1994 Report"), summarized at 59 FR 64657 (December 15, 1994). The 1995 Report is based on publicly available data, filings in various Commission rulemaking proceedings, and information submitted by commenters in response to a Notice of Inquiry in this docket, summarized at 60 FR 29533 (June 5, 1995).

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marcia A. Glauberman, Cable Services Bureau (202) 416–1184 or Martin L. Stern, Office of the General Counsel (202) 418–1880.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's 1995 Report in CS Docket No. 95–61, FCC 95–491, adopted December 7, 1995, and released December 11, 1995. The complete text of the 1995 Report is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20554, and may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service ("ITS, Inc."), (202) 857–3800, 2100 M

Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037. In addition, the complete text of the 1995 Report is available on the Internet at http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Cable/Reports/fcc95491.zip

Synopsis of the 1995 Report

1. The 1995 Report examines the cable television industry, other existing multichannel video programming distributors ("MVPDs"), and other existing and potential competitors to cable television. In the 1995 Report, the Commission also examines market structure and competition, measures horizontal concentration in the cable television industry, and evaluates vertical integration between cable television systems and programming services. In addition, the 1995 Report provides information on issues of access to programming and technical advances. Finally, the 1995 Report assesses the status of competition in the market for the delivery of video programming by examining the extent of competition, evaluating market performance, and reporting on existing and potential impediments to entry and competition, including strategic behavior that could deter entry and regulatory, legal, and other potential impediments.

2. Key Findings.

²Load includes a projected 30% reduction.

³Load includes a projected 60% reduction.

- Industry Growth—Since the 1994 Report, subscriber penetration, average system channel capacity, the number of programming services available, revenues, expenditures on programming, and capital investment generally have increased for the cable industry.
- Horizontal Concentration—Since 1994, there also has been an increase in the horizontal concentration of cable multiple system operators ("MSOs") nationwide and increased regional "clustering" of cable system ownership. Although the cable industry is moderately concentrated nationally, local markets for the distribution of multichannel video programming tend to be highly concentrated as measured by subscribership among all MVPDs.
- Competitive Entry—Although the percentage of subscribers choosing competitive alternatives to incumbent cable operators has increased since our last report, cable subscribership continues to dwarf the combined subscribership of all other MVPDs, accounting for 91% of the total.
- Vertical Integration—While the number of cable programming services has increased over the past year, the percentage of services that are vertically integrated with cable operators has declined slightly. The Commission's program access and program carriage rules, and its decisions applying those rules, seem to have been successful in ensuring that competing MVPDs are able to obtain the programming services affiliated with cable MSOs.
- Technological Advances— Technological advances are occurring that will permit MVPDs to increase the quantity of service (i.e., increased number of channels using the same amount of bandwidth or spectrum space) and types of offerings (e.g., interactive services). On the basis of the information reported, however, it is unclear which distributors will benefit the most from these technological

advances—existing cable operators or their existing and potential competitors.

Ordering Clauses

- 3. This 1995 Report is issued pursuant to authority contained in Sections 4(i), 4(j), 403 and 628(g) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), 403 and 548(g).
- 4. It is ordered that the Secretary shall send copies of this 1995 Report to the appropriate committees and subcommittees of the United States House of Representatives and the United States Senate.

Federal Communications Commission.
William F. Caton,
Acting Secretary.
[FR Doc. 96–959 Filed 1–23–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION [Notice 1996–1]

Filing Dates for the California Special Elections

AGENCY: Federal Election Commission. **ACTION:** Notice of filing dates for special elections.

SUMMARY: California has scheduled special elections on March 26 and May 21, 1996, in the Thirty-seventh Congressional District to fill the U.S. House seat vacated by Congressman Walter Tucker.

Committees required to file reports in connection with the Special General Election on March 26 should file a 12-day Pre-General Report on March 14, 1996. Committees required to file reports in connection with both the Special General and Special Runoff Elections to be held on May 21, should no candidate achieve a majority vote, must file a 12-day Pre-General Report, an April Quarterly Report on April 15, a 12-day Pre-Runoff Report on May 9,

and a Post-Runoff Report on June 20, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ms. Bobby Werfel, Information Division, 999 E Street NW., Washington, DC 20463, Telephone: (202) 219–3420; Toll Free (800) 424–9530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: All principal campaign committees of candidates in the Special General and Special Runoff Elections and all other political committees not filing monthly which support candidates in these elections shall file a 12-day Pre-General Report on March 14, with coverage dates from the close of the last report filed, or the day of the committee's first activity, whichever is later, through March 6; an April Quarterly Report on April 15, with coverage dates from March 7 through March 31; a 12-day Pre-Runoff Report on May 9, with coverage dates from April 1 through May 1; and a Post-Runoff Report on June 20, with coverage dates from May 2 through June 10, 1996.

All principal campaign committees of candidates in the Special General Election only and all other political committees not filing monthly which support candidates in the Special General Election shall file a 12-day Pre-General Report on March 14, with coverage dates from the close of the last report filed, or the date of the committee's first activity, whichever is later, through March 6 and a Post-General Report on April 25, with coverage dates from March 7 through April 15, 1996.

All political committees not filing monthly which support candidates in the Special Runoff only shall file a 12-day Pre-Runoff Report on May 9, with coverage dates from the last report filed or the date of the committee's first activity, whichever is later, through May 1, and a Post-Runoff Report on June 20, with coverage dates from May 2 through June 10, 1996.

CALENDAR OF REPORTING DATES FOR CALIFORNIA SPECIAL ELECTIONS

I. If only the Special General is held (03/26/96), Committees Must File:

Report	Close of books*	Regular/cer- tificate mail- ing date**	Filing date
Pre-General	03/06/96	03/11/96 —Waived—	03/14/96
Post-General II. If Two Elections are Held, but a Committee is Involved Only in the Special General (03/26/96):	04/15/96	04/25/96	04/25/96
Pre-General	03/06/96	03/11/96	03/14/96
April Quarterly	03/31/96	04/15/96	04/15/96
Pre-General	03/06/96	03/11/96	03/14/96
April Quarterly	03/31/96	04/15/96	04/15/96
Pre-Runoff	05/01/96	05/06/96	05/09/96